

REMARKS

Initially, please note that this amendment should be allowed After-Final, inasmuch as it places all claims in immediate condition for allowance and without raising any new issues for consideration.

The Office Action mailed October 6, 2006 considered claims 1-13 and 15-20. Claims 11-13 and 15-19 would be allowable if they were rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 101. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim (claim 1) and any intervening claims. Claims 1, 11, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 2-10 and 12-19 were rejected as not correcting the deficiencies of their parent claims(s). Various prior art rejections were made to various claims, but would appear to be overcome by inclusion of the subject matter in claim 8 in claims 1 and 20.

By this paper, claims 1, 11, and 20 have been amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. Each of the claims has been amended to recite physical computer readable storage media, storage in one or more physical computer readable storage media for use in displaying graphical objects, or displaying a graphical object. Claims 1 and 20 have been amended to include the subject matter of allowable claim 8, which has been cancelled. As such, each of the claims, claims 1-7, 9-13, and 15-20 should be in condition for allowance. Notably, the Applicant desires only to expedite the issuance of the allowed claims and, by making the indicated amendments, the Applicant does not surrender any subject matter. Instead, and to the contrary, Applicant intends to pursue further claims scope in a continuation application.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the other rejections to the claims are now moot and do not, therefore, need to be addressed individually at this time. It will be appreciated, however, that this should not be construed as Applicants acquiescing to any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action regarding the cited art or the pending application, including any official notice. Instead, Applicants reserve the right to challenge any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action at any appropriate time in the future, should the need arise. Furthermore, to the extent that the Examiner has relied on any Official Notice, explicitly or implicitly, Applicants specifically request that the Examiner

provide references supporting the teachings officially noticed, as well as the required motivation or suggestion to combine the relied upon notice with the other art of record.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the other rejections to the claims are now moot and do not, therefore, need to be addressed individually at this time. It will be appreciated, however, that this should not be construed as Applicants acquiescing to any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action regarding the cited art or the pending application, including any official notice. Instead, Applicants reserve the right to challenge any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action at any appropriate time in the future, should the need arise. Furthermore, to the extent that the Examiner has relied on any Official Notice, explicitly or implicitly, Applicants specifically request that the Examiner provide references supporting the teachings officially noticed, as well as the required motivation or suggestion to combine the relied upon notice with the other art of record.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 6th day of November, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



RICK D. NYDEGGER
Registration No. 28,651
JENS C. JENKINS
Registration No. 44,803
J. LAVAR OLDHAM
Registration No. 53,409
Attorneys for Applicant
Customer No. 47973